## **Knowles-Nelson Stewardship Grant Program**



## **Public Safety Team Analysis of Nature Based Outdoor Activities on Projects Purchased with Stewardship Grant Funds**

Rev. August 2012

NOTE TO DNR STAFF: This completed form will be attached to the NBOA notice that the DNR will publish when considering a request for

Stewardship grant funding. Do not list location information for the subject property or the seller's name because WI courts have held that this information is confidential until land ownership has changed hands. CF Staff completes the project proposal section prior to submitting to public safety team for review.					
PUBLIC SAFETY REVIEW TEAM					
Regional Recfeational Safety Warden Jacob Holsclaw  10-26-21 Date		Richard E Rosen	10-26-2021		
		Regional Warden Supervisor	Date		
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	<u> </u>				
Applicant/ Sponsor: City of Schofield					
Project Name: Lake Wausau Boat Launch Property Acquisition	Prima	ary Purpose: ☐ Habitat Areas☐ Natural Areas☐ State Trails☐ Streambank Pro	☐ Local Parks ☐ Urban Rivers ☐ Urban Greenspace otection		
Project Description: The City of Schofield has requested aid for a land purchase for a new boat launch area situated along Grand Avenue and Lake Wausau. If awarded the grant, funding would allow the City to complete the acquisition of the 2.37 acres of land necessary to proceed with constructing the proposed boat launch. The existing Schofield Dam Park boat launch, located directly downstream from the Eau Claire River Dam, is not an ideal launch site for paddle craft and boats due to river currents and turbulence and proximity to the Grand Avenue Bridge just downstream. The City has long desired to relocate this launch site to the proposed launch site location due to lack of adequate parking and unsafe conditions.					
FINDINGS OF FACT:					
Recreation Warden Holsclaw visited the site on 10-26-21. Met with City of Schofield Public Works director/Zoning Administrator Mark Thuot and Cheryl Housley with DNR Stewardship Grants. Several facts should be noted in regard to hunting restrictions.					
The southern and central portion of the property is within 100 yards of buildings devoted to human occupancy. There is an apartment complex to the south of the property. Immediately to the north is a bank and city owned building. The area that could potentially be hunted is extremely small. Waterfowl could be hunted with a bow on the extreme west side of the peninsula and be beyond the 100-yard restriction.					
The property is entirely within the 1700-foot restriction from a school for firearm use. There is approximately 1500 feet from the farthest northern portion of the property to the closest point of the school building to the south. This would prohibit firearm discharge.					
I see no issues with trapping as long as state law is followed, and traps of specified size are restricted to underwater use within 100-yard distance from buildings.					

**Parcel Size, Shape, and Topography:** Describe the size, shape, and topography of the parcel and how it relates to public safety for this activity on this site.

The subject property consists of 2.37 acres of vacant land that is level, open and irregular in shape, including a peninsula that extends westerly from the main shore and extends south creating a small, protected bay. The peninsula and the northern portion of the property is forested with various hardwood species while the remaining area includes an old concrete slab. The western boundary of the property is Lake Wausau. There were several buildings that formerly occupied the site, but in 2010, all of the buildings were removed and the land has been vacant since. To the east and south are several, occupied commercial buildings as well Grand Ave (Bus. Hwy 51) lies to the east.

## **Proposed NBOA Prohibition or Restrictions**

HUNTING	Gun	Archery	List Dates/Seasons	
	Prohibition/Restriction	Prohibition/Restriction	(opening and closing)	
Waterfowl	Prohibited	Limited to peninsula		
Small Game	Prohibited	Limited to peninsula		
Turkey	Prohibited	Limited to peninsula		
Large Game	Prohibited	Limited to peninsula		
allowing hunting would b	claw does not see any issues with	the site and possible grant considenters would not be legally allowed		
Public Safety Factors Considered: Check all that apply.  ☐ The sponsor is within its own municipality boundary.  ☐ Parcel is within 100 yards of building devoted to human occupancy  ☐ Parcel is within 50 feet of the center line of highways & roads  ☐ Parcel is within 1700 feet of school grounds, hospital, or sanatorium				
☐ Ordinance exists – ☐ Discharge or carry ☐ Parcel is subjected to	ring a weapon   Hunting within a a non-sponsor ordinance a parcel where the NBOA's to be p	park Throwing or shooting mis	siles, arrows, spears, etc.	
Visitor's expectations of safety at the site, which includes user conflicts that may create public safety issues and impact one or more NBOA's activities. Describe: Given the urban nature of the eastern portion of the property conflict could result if hunting was permitted, due to the proximity to other commercial buildings, pedestrian and vehicular traffic. Bow hunting would be limited to the peninsula area and local ordinance permits, but requires discharge of arrow or bolt toward the ground.				
☐ Other				
Prohibition or Restricti	on Necessary to Protect Public S	Safety: Yes	□ No	

•

TRAPPING					
Location	Prohibition/Restriction	List Dates/Seasons (opening and closing)			
Upland	None	Open all			
Water	None	Open all			
Public Safety Team Narrative:  No issues with trapping.					
☐ No Water on Site					
Public Safety Factors Cor	nsidered: Check all that apply.				
The sponsor is within its	s own municipality boundary.				
■ Parcel is within 100 yards of building devoted to human occupancy and per s. NR 10.13(1)(b)(12)(c), the following traps are prohibited: conibear body gripping traps (with jaws wider than 60 sq. inches) and cable restraints.  ☐ Ordinance exists that prohibits trapping or some type of trapping.					
$\square$ Parcel is subjected to a	non-sponsor ordinance				
☐ Parcel is adjacent to a p	parcel where the NBOA's to be prohibited already	exists.			
Parcel is adjacent to a p	oublic waterway.				
☐ Visitor's expectations of safety at the site, which includes user conflicts that may create public safety issues and impact one or more NBOA's activities. Describe:					
☐ Other					
Prohibition or Restriction	Necessary to Protect Public Safety:	□ Yes ■ No			
FIUIIDILIUII UI TIESUTUUUTI	Necessary to Frotect Fubile Galety.	□ TeS ■ NO			
FISHING					
Method	Prohibition/Restriction	List Dates/Seasons (opening and closing)			
		Open all			
Boat	None	Open all			
Public Safety Team Narrative: No issues with fishing.					
<ul> <li>No Water on Site</li> <li>Public Safety Factors Considered: Check all that apply.</li> <li>○ Ordinance exists - □</li></ul>					
Parcel is adjacent to a public waterway.					
☐ Visitor's expectations of safety at the site, which includes user conflicts that may create public safety issues and impact one or more NBOA's activities. Describe:					
□ Other					
D 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Necessary to Protect Public Safety:	□ Yes ■ No			

HIKING					
Location	Prohibition/Restriction	List Dates (opening and closing)			
On-Trail	none	Open all			
Off-Trail	none	Open all			
Public Safety Team Narrative:					
Public Safety Factors Considered: Check all that apply.  ☐ Parcel is subjected to a non-sponsor ordinance ☐ Parcel is adjacent to a parcel where the NBOA's to be prohibited already exists. ☐ Parcel is adjacent to a public waterway.					
☐ Visitor's expectations of safety at the site, which includes user conflicts that may create public safety issues and impact one or more NBOA's activities. Describe:					
□ Other					
Prohibition or Restrictio	n Necessary to Protect Public Safety:	☐ Yes ■ No			
CROSS COUNTRY SKIIN	IG				
Type of Trail	Prohibition/Restriction	List Dates (opening and closing)			
Off-Trail (un-groomed)		Open all			
On-Trail (un-groomed)		Open all			
On-Trail (groomed)		Open all			
Public Safety Team Narrative:					
Public Safety Factors Considered: Check all that apply.  ☐ Parcel is subjected to a non-sponsor ordinance ☐ Parcel is adjacent to a parcel where the NBOA's to be prohibited already exists. ☐ Parcel is adjacent to a public waterway.					
☐ Visitor's expectations of safety at the site, which includes user conflicts that may create public safety issues and impact one or more NBOA's activities. Describe:					
Other  Prohibition or Restriction Necessary to Protect Public Safety:   Yes No					
Prohibition or Restrictio	n Necessary to Protect Public Safety:	□ Yes ■ No			